

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/796,861	CALABRESI ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
MINA HAGHIGHATIAN	1616	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) MINA HAGHIGHATIAN. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) George Repper. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 August 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*NONE*

Claims discussed:

*11 and 23*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner telephoned Applicant to discuss the potential allowance of claims. The only remaining issue was that there appeared to be not enough support for the term "metabolite" in claims 11 and 23. Mr. Repper indicated that Figure 1 and its description (under Brief description of the drawings) provides support for the term metabolite for claim 11. The description discloses that metabolites of taurloidine include tauraltam, taurinamide and taurine. Mr. Repper agreed to deleting the term metabolite from claim 23. The amendment is done by way of an Examiner's Amendment (see attached).*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mina Haghightian/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

